
world war ii magazines Is anybody getting tired of the Mccain War Stories?

Posted by genie - 2008/09/14 22:34

RE: Is anybody getting tired of the Mccain War Stories? Nope - but we sure as hell are tired hearing about Obama Insane's days as a community organizer. Read, playing pickup basketball wit de bruthas! This election is not about the past. it is about America's future. The performance of Republicans for the past eight years suggests they have no furture governing this country. ***** I agree the election is about America's future. However, the only way a politician can be accurately judged is by their record, their associations and their character. It's a bad mistake to judge a politician by what they say during a campaign. Not when it comes to the words of heresy presented by John McCain. He is one of those cake and eat it too politicians. He has been trying to distance himself from the record of GWBush during both the primary and general election campaigns, but his solutions are nothing more than a rehash and reiteration of the failed policies of Bush/Cheney.- Hide quoted text - - Show quoted text - Actually he makes alot of sense. It is time for the moderates of both sides to get together and let the extermes of the left & right pay for thier own shit.

world war ii magazines Is anybody getting tired of the Mccain War Stories?

Posted by Secular Human - 2008/09/14 22:34

RE: Is anybody getting tired of the Mccain War Stories? Nope - but we sure as hell are tired hearing about Obama Insane's days as a community organizer. Read, playing pickup basketball wit de bruthas! He did that after college. He was a law professor before he got into politics. He was a law professor teaching Civil Rights Law - read, he was a black agitator teaching some bullshit, worthless African Studies course to his homies. This is America. We can each lead our lives they way we want to. McCain's entire life has been in government. He sucked the government's teats way to long.

world war ii magazines Is anybody getting tired of the Mccain War Stories?

Posted by Raymond - 2008/09/14 22:34

RE: Is anybody getting tired of the Mccain War Stories? Nope - but we sure as hell are tired hearing about Obama Insane's days as a community organizer. Read, playing pickup basketball wit de bruthas! This election is not about the past. it is about America's future. The performance of Republicans for the past eight years suggests they have no furture governing this country. ***** I agree the election is about America's future. However, the only way a politician can be accurately judged is by their record, their associations and their character. It's a bad mistake to judge a politician by what they say during a campaign. Americans love their wars and the candidate who argues for war and more war always has the political advantage iin an election. That is why McCain is so popular and has a very good chance of becoming the next American Chancellor. War is the health of the American state. With the shock of war the State always comes into its own again. Government is obviously composed of common and unsanctified men, and is thus a legitimate object of criticism and even contempt. If your own party is in power, things may be assumed to be moving safely enough; but if the opposition is in, then clearly all safety and honor have fled the State. Yet you do not put it to yourself in quite that way. What you think is only that there are rascals to be turned out of a very practical machinery of offices and functions which you take for granted. When we say that Americans are lawless, we usually mean that they are less conscious than other peoples of the august majesty of the institution of the State as it stands behind the objective government of men and laws which we see. In a republic the men who hold office are indistinguishable from the mass. Very few of them possess the slightest personal dignity with which they could endow their political role; even if they ever thought of such a thing. And they have no class distinction to give them glamour. In a republic the Government is obeyed grumblingly, because it has no bedazzlements or sanctities to gild it. If you are a good old-fashioned democrat, you rejoice at this fact, you glory in the plainness of a system where every citizen has become a king. If you are more sophisticated you bemoan the passing of dignity and honor from affairs of State. But in practice, the democrat does not in the least treat his elected citizen with the respect due to a king, nor does the sophisticated citizen pay tribute to the dignity even when he finds it. The republican State has almost no trappings to appeal to the common man's emotions. What it has are of military origin, and in an unmilitary era such as we have passed through since the Civil War, even military trappings have been scarcely seen. In such an era the sense of the State almost fades out of the consciousness of men. With the shock of war, however, the State comes into its own again. The Government, with no mandate from the people, without consultation of the people, conducts all the negotiations, the backing and filling, the menaces and explanations, which slowly bring it into collision with some other Government, and gently and irresistibly slides the country into war. For the benefit of proud and haughty citizens, it is fortified with a list of the intolerable insults which have been hurled toward us by the other nations; for the benefit of the liberal and beneficent, it has a convincing set of moral purposes which our going to war will achieve; for the ambitious and aggressive classes, it can gently whisper of a bigger role in the destiny

of the world. The result is that, even in those countries where the business of declaring war is theoretically in the hands of representatives of the people, no legislature has ever been known to decline the request of an Executive, which has conducted all foreign affairs in utter privacy and irresponsibility, that it order the nation into battle. Good democrats are wont to feel the crucial difference between a State in which the popular Parliament or Congress declares war, and the State in which an absolute monarch or ruling class declares war. But, put to the stern pragmatic test, the difference is not striking. In the freest of republics as well as in the most tyrannical of empires, all foreign policy, the diplomatic negotiations which produce or forestall war, are equally the private property of the Executive part of the Government, and are equally exposed to no check whatever from popular bodies, or the people voting as a mass themselves. And it is precisely in war that the urgency for union seems greatest, and the necessity for universality seems most unquestioned. The State is the organization of the herd to act offensively or defensively against another herd similarly organized. The more terrifying the occasion for defense, the closer will become the organization and the more coercive the influence upon each member of the herd. War sends the current of purpose and activity flowing down to the lowest level of the herd, and to its most remote branches. by Randolph Bourne War Is the Health of the State

=====

world war ii magazines Is anybody getting tired of the McCain War Stories?

Posted by Raymond - 2008/09/14 22:34

RE: Is anybody getting tired of the McCain War Stories? Nope - but we sure as hell are tired hearing about Obama Insane's days as a community organizer. Read, playing pickup basketball wit de bruthas! This election is not about the past. it is about America's future. The performance of Republicans for the past eight years suggests they have no future governing this country. ***** I agree the election is about America's future. However, the only way a politician can be accurately judged is by their record, their associations and their character. It's a bad mistake to judge a politician by what they say during a campaign. Americans love their wars and the candidate who argues for war and more war always has the political advantage in an election. That is why McCain is so popular and has a very good chance of becoming the next American Chancellor. War is the health of the American state. With the shock of war the State always comes into its own again.

Government is obviously composed of common and unsanctified men, and is thus a legitimate object of criticism and even contempt. If your own party is in power, things may be assumed to be moving safely enough; but if the opposition is in, then clearly all safety and honor have fled the State. Yet you do not put it to yourself in quite that way. What you think is only that there are rascals to be turned out of a very practical machinery of offices and functions which you take for granted. When we say that Americans are lawless, we usually mean that they are less conscious than other peoples of the august majesty of the institution of the State as it stands behind the objective government of men and laws which we see. In a republic the men who hold office are indistinguishable from the mass. Very few of them possess the slightest personal dignity with which they could endow their political role; even if they ever thought of such a thing. And they have no class distinction to give them glamour. In a republic the Government is obeyed grumblingly, because it has no bedazzlements or sanctities to gild it. If you are a good old-fashioned democrat, you rejoice at this fact, you glory in the plainness of a system where every citizen has become a king. If you are more sophisticated you bemoan the passing of dignity and honor from affairs of State. But in practice, the democrat does not in the least treat his elected citizen with the respect due to a king, nor does the sophisticated citizen pay tribute to the dignity even when he finds it. The republican State has almost no trappings to appeal to the common man's emotions. What it has are of military origin, and in an unmilitary era such as we have passed through since the Civil War, even military trappings have been scarcely seen. In such an era the sense of the State almost fades out of the consciousness of men. With the shock of war, however, the State comes into its own again. The Government, with no mandate from the people, without consultation of the people, conducts all the negotiations, the backing and filling, the menaces and explanations, which slowly bring it into collision with some other Government, and gently and irresistibly slides the country into war. For the benefit of proud and haughty citizens, it is fortified with a list of the intolerable insults which have been hurled toward us by the other nations; for the benefit of the liberal and beneficent, it has a convincing set of moral purposes which our going to war will achieve; for the ambitious and aggressive classes, it can gently whisper of a bigger role in the destiny of the world. The result is that, even in those countries where the business of declaring war is theoretically in the hands of representatives of the people, no legislature has ever been known to decline the request of an Executive, which has conducted all foreign affairs in utter privacy and irresponsibility, that it order the nation into battle. Good democrats are wont to feel the crucial difference between a State in which the popular Parliament or Congress declares war, and the State in which an absolute monarch or ruling class declares war. But, put to the stern pragmatic test, the difference is not striking. In the freest of republics as well as in the most tyrannical of empires, all foreign policy, the diplomatic negotiations which produce or forestall war, are equally the private property of the Executive part of the Government, and are equally exposed to no check whatever from popular bodies, or the people voting as a mass themselves. And it is precisely in war that the urgency for union seems greatest, and the necessity for universality seems most unquestioned. The State is the organization of the herd to act offensively or defensively against another herd similarly organized. The more terrifying the occasion for defense, the closer will become the organization and the more coercive the influence upon each member of the herd. War sends the current of purpose and activity flowing down to the lowest level of the herd, and to its most remote branches. by Randolph Bourne War Is the Health of the State- Hide quoted text - - Show quoted text - Page II 232 Years of

No, but if you are there's a simple solution, but I'll bet you're too stupid to use it. Snicker.

=====

world war ii magazines Is anybody getting tired of the McCain War Stories?

Posted by Hugh Gibbons - 2008/09/14 22:34

He was a law professor teaching Civil Rights Law - read, he was a black agitator teaching some bullshit, worthless African Studies course to his homies. It's noted that you consider civil rights law worthless bullshit. Got anything else un-American and blatantly racist to say while you're digging that hole?

=====